

1 **JEAN CIHIGOYENETCHE (State Bar No. 105227)**  
2 **JC LAW FIRM**  
3 P.O. Box 2259  
4 Chino Hills, CA 91709  
5 (909) 214-6012  
6 [Jean@thejclawfirm.com](mailto:Jean@thejclawfirm.com)

*Exempt from Filing fee Pursuant to  
Gov. Code § 6103*

7 Attorneys for INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, RANCHO CUCAMONGA DISTRICT

10 CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER  
11 DISTRICT,

CASE NO.: RCVRS 51010

*Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Gilbert G. Ochoa*

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

**REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN  
SUPPORT OF INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES  
AGENCY’S MOTION FOR COSTS AND  
ATTORNEY’S FEES PURSUANT TO CIVIL  
CODE §1717 AND CODE OF CIVIL  
PROCEDURE §1033.5**

14 CITY OF CHINO, et al.,

15 Defendants.

*[Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion,  
Memorandum of Points & Authorities, Declaration of  
Jean Cihigoyenette; Memorandum of Costs; and  
Proposed Order]*

**DATE: April 4, 2025  
TIME: 10:00 a.m.  
DEPT: R17**

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22 Inland Empire Utilities Agency respectfully requests the court to take Judicial Notice on the  
23 following document attached hereto under Evidence Code §450, 452(d) et seq.:

24 **Request No. 1:**


25 City of Ontario’s Notice of Motion and Motion Challenging Watermaster’s Budget Action to Fund  
26 Unauthorized CEQA Review, attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

JC LAW FIRM  
P.O. Box 2259  
Chino Hills, CA 91709  
Tele: (909) 214-6012

1 Dated: February 20, 2025

JC LAW FIRM

2

3 

4 \_\_\_\_\_

5 JEAN CHIGOYENETTE

6 Attorneys for

7 INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY

JC LAW FIRM  
 P.O. Box 2259  
 Chino Hills, CA 91709  
 Tele: (909) 214-6012

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 NOSSAMAN LLP  
2 FREDERIC A. FUDACZ (SBN 50546)  
3 ffudacz@nossaman.com  
4 GINA R. NICHOLLS (SBN 270174)  
5 gnicholls@nossaman.com  
6 777 S. Figueroa Street, 34th Floor  
7 Los Angeles, CA 90017  
8 Telephone: 213.612.7800  
9 Facsimile: 213.612.7801

**EXEMPT FROM FILING FEE  
PER GOV. CODE, § 6103**

6 Attorneys for CITY OF ONTARIO

7 *[Additional Parties on Following Pages]*

8

9

10

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

11

12

13

14 CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER  
15 DISTRICT,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 CITY OF CHINO, ET AL.,

18 Defendants.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No: RCVRS 51010

*Assigned for All Purposes to:  
Honorable Gilbert G. Ochoa*

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION CHALLENGING  
WATERMASTER'S BUDGET ACTION  
TO FUND UNAUTHORIZED CEQA  
REVIEW**

[Concurrently Filed with Notice of Motion;  
Decl. of Courtney Jones; Request for Judicial  
Notice; Proposed Order]

Date: October 18, 2022  
Time: 9:00 a.m.  
Department: S24

**EXHIBIT 1**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

ARTHUR G. KIDMAN, CAL. BAR NO. 61719  
ANDREW B. GAGEN, CAL. BAR NO. 212257  
KIDMAN GAGEN LAW LLP  
8 Corporate Park, Suite 300  
Irvine, CA 92606  
Telephone: (714) 755-3100  
[agagen@kidmanlaw.com](mailto:agagen@kidmanlaw.com)

Attorneys for MONTE VISTA WATER DISTRICT and  
MONTE VISTA IRRIGATION COMPANY

JIMMY L. GUTIERREZ, CAL. BAR NO. 59448  
JIMMY L. GUTIERREZ LAW CORPORATION  
12616 Central Avenue  
Chino, CA 91710  
(909) 591-6336 Office  
[Jimmy@City-Attorney.com](mailto:Jimmy@City-Attorney.com)

Attorneys for CITY OF CHINO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	5
II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND.....	8
A. The Judgment and the Optimum Basin Management Program .....	8
B. Peace Agreement .....	9
C. Peace II Agreement.....	10
D. The Present Dispute .....	10
III. LEGAL ARGUMENT.....	12
A. Watermaster Lacks Independent Authority to Fund CEQA Review.....	13
B. Watermaster Lacks Authority to Fund or Conduct CEQA Review of OBMPU Projects Without Consent of the Parties to the Peace Agreement.....	14
C. Parties Cannot Be Compelled to Pay for Watermaster Support of IEUA’s PEIR for the OBMPU.....	15
D. Watermaster’s Funding of CEQA and Endorsement of IEUA as Lead Agency for CEQA Review Is Inappropriate Because it Violates Watermaster’s Neutrality as an Arm of the Court.....	15
IV. CONCLUSION.....	17

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**Cases**

*Dow v. Lassen Irrigation Co.*  
75 Cal.App.5th 482 .....14, 15

*Hillside Memorial Park & Mortuary v. Golden State Water Co.*  
(2011) 205 Cal.App.4th 534 .....6, 8, 3

*Orange Cove Irr. Dist. v. Los Molinos Mut. Water Co.*  
(2018) 30 Cal.App.5th 1 .....5, 15

*Picayune Rancheria of Chukchansi Indians v. Brown*  
(2014) 229 Cal.App.4th 1416 .....13

*Water Replenishment Dist. of Southern California v. City of Cerritos*  
(2012) 202 Cal.App.4th 1063 .....7

**Statutes**

Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, §§ 15051, 15379 .....12, 16

Pub. Res. Code § 21065 .....12, 13

1 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

2 I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

3 The City of Ontario (“Ontario”), Monte Vista Water District (“MVWD”), Monte Vista  
4 Irrigation Company (“MVIC”), and the City of Chino (“Chino”) (collectively, the “Moving  
5 Parties”) bring this Motion to uphold the governance structure established for the Chino Basin  
6 (“Basin”) by the stipulated judgment (“Judgment”)<sup>1</sup> that was agreed upon by parties holding  
7 water rights in the Basin, and entered by the Court in 1978. The Judgment established an  
8 equitable remedy called a physical solution for the Basin (the “Physical Solution”). The  
9 Judgment also created a judicial branch entity called the Chino Basin Watermaster  
10 (“Watermaster”) to administer and enforce the Physical Solution. The Judgment empowers  
11 Watermaster to perform certain functions and to assess parties to finance such functions – but  
12 only within the bounds established by the Judgment and subsequent agreements approved by the  
13 Court.<sup>2</sup>

14 Watermaster’s power is strictly limited by the terms of the Judgment and applicable law.  
15 Watermaster lacks independent power or authority to conduct environmental review pursuant to  
16 the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”). As a judicial branch entity or arm of the  
17 court, Watermaster is not subject to CEQA – unlike public agencies such as Moving Parties.  
18 CEQA review must be conducted by public agencies when they have a defined project, not by  
19 Watermaster.

20 The Moving Parties take seriously their legal obligations to comply with CEQA. An  
21 important first step of any such environmental review is to carefully describe the project to be  
22 analyzed. In the absence of a clear and understandable project description, achieving full  
23 compliance with CEQA is difficult if not impossible.

24 The present dispute arises from adoption of a budget for fiscal year (“FY”) 2022-2023 by  
25 Watermaster’s Board that allocates approximately four hundred thousand dollars for unauthorized

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>1</sup> Throughout the Motion, “Judgment” refers to the amended and restated version of the original  
stipulated judgment.

28 <sup>2</sup> *Orange Cove Irrigation District v. Los Molinos Mutual Water Co.* (2018) 30 Cal.App.5th 1, 21-  
22, holds that authority of a watermaster is strictly limited by the governing agreement.

1 and premature CEQA review of undefined projects in connection with the Optimum Basin  
2 Management Program 2020 Update Report (“OBMPU”). Watermaster will assess parties to the  
3 Judgment, including the Moving Parties,<sup>3</sup> for these budgeted expenses via the forthcoming  
4 Watermaster assessment package. The Moving Parties object to these expenses.

5 Watermaster adopted the OBMPU in 2020 pursuant to its authority under the Judgment to  
6 administer the Physical Solution pursuant to the Court’s continuing jurisdiction. A watermaster’s  
7 implementation of a physical solution under the court’s supervision is not subject to CEQA.<sup>4</sup>  
8 Accordingly, there is no need or legal basis to conduct CEQA review of the OBMPU.

9 An Implementation Plan has not yet been developed in conjunction with the OBMPU.  
10 When an Implementation Plan is developed, CEQA review will be necessary to the extent the  
11 Plan contemplates public agencies undertaking projects subject to CEQA. Watermaster  
12 acknowledged that an amendment to the Peace Agreement is a predicate to adoption of an  
13 Implementation Plan. Thus, until an Implementation Plan is developed and adopted pursuant to a  
14 Peace Agreement amendment, with the consent of the parties to the Peace Agreement, there are  
15 no projects or plans that require CEQA analysis.

16 Contrary to Watermaster’s role as a non-CEQA agency, Watermaster intends to fund  
17 CEQA review with the Inland Empire Utility Agency (“IEUA”) acting as “lead agency” for  
18 purposes of preparing a Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (“PEIR”) for the OBMPU.  
19 Not only is the PEIR unauthorized, as discussed above, but also IEUA’s role as CEQA lead  
20 agency presents conflicts of interest and pre-supposes which public agency should serve as lead  
21 agency where projects have not yet been identified and agreed upon. IEUA is the leading  
22 proponent of a controversial and expensive (on the order of hundreds of millions of dollars) Chino  
23 Basin Program. The Chino Basin Program is a candidate for inclusion in the OBMPU  
24 Implementation Plan. By funding and supporting IEUA’s PEIR, Watermaster will be helping  
25

26 <sup>3</sup> The Moving Parties, collectively, will be assessed about forty percent of the \$402,999.  
27 (Watermaster Staff Report dated Jul. 28, 2022, Exhibit 8 to RJN; see also Declaration of C. Jones,  
filed concurrently herewith, at ¶ 4.)

28 <sup>4</sup> *Hillside Memorial Park & Mortuary v. Golden State Water Co.* (2011) 205 Cal.App.4th 534,  
550; see also Stater, 1 California Water Law and Policy § 11.10.



1 IEUA advance IEUA's interest in ensuring that the Chino Basin Program is included in an  
2 OBMPU Implementation Plan. Watermaster's authority to finance or otherwise participate in  
3 CEQA review of the OBMPU is dependent on an agreement of the parties to the Peace  
4 Agreement that has not been achieved. Accordingly, there is no legal basis for Watermaster to  
5 fund CEQA review, and doing so violates the principle that watermaster should remain neutral.

6 Despite objections made on all the above-referenced grounds by the Moving Parties, and  
7 the Moving Parties' repeated requests for a legal opinion supporting Watermaster's budgeted  
8 funds for IEUA's CEQA review, on July 28, 2022, Watermaster refused to provide the requested  
9 legal opinion and decided to proceed with expending its budgeted funds for CEQA review.

10 The Moving Parties are seeking determinations by the Court that (1) Watermaster's  
11 budget action is invalid to the extent it allocates funding for IEUA's PEIR in connection with the  
12 OBMPU; and (2) any corresponding assessments by Watermaster are invalid and unenforceable.  
13 The Moving Parties respectfully request that this Court direct Watermaster not to expend funds  
14 for CEQA review until after parties to the Peace Agreement reach agreement regarding the  
15 OBMPU Implementation Plan and a corresponding amendment to the Peace Agreement.

16 Following is a summary of the reasons why the Court may grant the requests of the Moving  
17 Parties:

- 18 • As an arm of the Court, Watermaster is not subject to CEQA and does not conduct CEQA  
19 review.
- 20 • Watermaster lacks authority to proceed with any OBMPU Implementation Plan or projects  
21 subject to CEQA without prior agreement of the parties to the Peace Agreement, which  
22 has not been obtained.
- 23 • Parties to the Peace Agreement have not defined or agreed to any OBMPU  
24 Implementation Plan, nor are any projects that may be included in the to-be-updated  
25 Implementation Plan ready for CEQA review.
- 26 • Because the existing PEIR for the 2000 OBMP Implementation Plan, another PEIR for a  
27 currently non-existent update to the Implementation Plan is not necessary or appropriate at  
28 this time.

- 1 • Watermaster’s budgeted funding for CEQA review conducted by IEUA as lead agency is  
2 inappropriate because of conflicts of interest and absence of consensus on projects among  
3 parties to the Peace Agreement.

4 Section 31 of the Judgment makes Watermaster actions and decisions such as the May 26,  
5 2022 Watermaster budget action subject to review by this Court. Additionally, this Court’s  
6 approval of the Peace Agreement enables this Court to interpret the Peace Agreement and make  
7 the requested determinations as an exercise of its continuing jurisdiction under Section 15 the  
8 Judgment.

9 **II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**

10 **A. The Judgment and the Optimum Basin Management Program**

11 The Judgment provides for development of an optimum basin management program for  
12 the Basin. (Exhibit 1 to Request for Judicial Notice, filed concurrently herewith [“RJN”], at §  
13 41.) Specifically, Section 41 of the Judgment empowers Watermaster to do the following in  
14 connection with its administration of the Physical Solution:

15 41. Watermaster Control. Watermaster, with the advice of the Advisory  
16 and Pool Committees, is granted discretionary powers in order to develop an  
17 optimum basin management program for Chino Basin, including both water  
quantity and quality considerations.

18 In 1998, the Court directed Watermaster to commence the optimum basin management program  
19 (Peace Agreement, Exhibit 2 to RJN, at p.2 Recitals), and in 1999, the Court approved a proposal  
20 by Watermaster to prepare a PEIR with IEUA acting as lead agency for purposes of CEQA.  
21 (Court Ruling, Exhibit 3 to RJN, at 2:19-20.) The Court noted a party’s contention that CEQA  
22 did not apply to Watermaster’s development of an optimum basin management program. (*Id.* at  
23 1:24-25.) Subsequently, *Hillside Memorial Park & Mortuary v. Golden State Water Co.* (2011)  
24 205 Cal.App.4th 534 clarified that CEQA does not apply to a watermaster’s implementation of a  
25 physical solution pursuant to the court’s continuing jurisdiction.

26 ///

27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**B. Peace Agreement**

Certain parties to the Judgment including the Moving Parties entered into the Peace Agreement, dated June 29, 2000 (as subsequently amended, the “Peace Agreement”),<sup>5</sup> to resolve disputes pertaining to the power and authority of the Watermaster – in particular, disputes about procedures for adoption and implementation of the initial optimum basin management program for the Basin (“2000 OBMP”). (Peace Agreement, Exhibit 2 to RJN, at p.3 Recitals.) The Peace Agreement enabled adoption of the 2000 OBMP and a suite of projects under an OBMP implementation plan. (*Ibid.*) For example, the Peace Agreement allows Watermaster to administer transfers, recharge, and storage/recovery of water in the Chino Basin. (See generally, Peace Agreement, Exhibit 2 to RJN.) The Peace Agreement, the 2000 OBMP and the corresponding implementation plan all were approved by the Court, and Watermaster was ordered to proceed in accordance with their terms.<sup>6</sup> (See Watermaster Resolution No. 2000-05, Exhibit 4 to RJN.)

The Peace Agreement established a framework for achieving compliance with CEQA in connection with the OBMP that remains in effect. The parties agreed that “no commitment will be made to carry out any ‘project’ under OBMP and within the meaning of CEQA unless and until the environmental review and assessments required by CEQA for that defined ‘project’ have been completed.” (Peace Agreement, Exhibit 2 to RJN, at § 2.1.) **Section 2.2 of the Peace Agreement confirms that any CEQA review of then-agreed-to project elements does not extend to future projects to which agreement has not yet been reached.** Accordingly, any new projects require CEQA review once agreement is achieved among the parties to the Peace Agreement.

Consistent with the framework established by the Peace Agreement, any new projects implementing the OBMP must be agreed-upon via an amendment to the Peace Agreement (see

---

<sup>5</sup> Watermaster is neither a signatory nor party to the Peace Agreement, and, accordingly, Watermaster holds no rights or authority under the Peace Agreement. Watermaster’s function in relation to the Peace Agreement is to implement the Agreement’s terms and conditions, subject to oversight by this Court.

<sup>6</sup> Certification of the PEIR for the 2000 OBMP was a pre-condition for Court approval of the Peace Agreement. (See CEQA Ruling dated Nov. 18, 1999, Exhibit 3 to RJN.)

1 Peace Agreement, § 10.14) and, then, reviewed in compliance with CEQA and the Peace  
2 Agreement.

3 **C. Peace II Agreement**

4 The 2000 OBMP was supplemented in connection with the Peace II Agreement, dated  
5 October 25, 2007 (“Peace II”). Peace II provided for implementation of a suite of additional  
6 projects including the achievement of hydraulic control of the Basin through re-operation. The  
7 actual physical project consisting of the construction and operation of a well field along the Santa  
8 Ana River and a desalter facility was undertaken by the Chino Basin Desalter Authority, a joint  
9 powers agency comprised of several parties to the Judgment and the Peace Agreements including  
10 Chino and Ontario (Moving Parties hereto). (Declaration of C. Jones, filed concurrently herewith  
11 [“Jones Decl.”], at ¶ 13.) Consistent with the process established by Section 2.2 of the Peace  
12 Agreement, CEQA review of Peace II project elements was accomplished upon agreement of the  
13 parties to Peace II. (See Peace II, Exhibit 5 to RJN, at § 2.3 [confirming that environmental  
14 review will need to be completed before carrying out any “project” pursuant to Peace II], and  
15 Exh. 2 Planning Schedule.)

16 **D. The Present Dispute**

17 The present dispute arises from the action taken by the Watermaster Board on May 26,  
18 2022 to adopt a budget that allocates \$402,999 to support a new PEIR being prepared by IEUA in  
19 connection with the OBMPU. (Watermaster Staff Report dated Jul. 28, 2022, Exhibit 8 to RJN;  
20 *see also* Jones Decl., at ¶¶ 3-5.) The Moving Parties contest the need for a PEIR and challenge  
21 the legality of Watermaster expending funds in support of the PEIR, for the reasons explained in  
22 this Motion. Critically, the OBMPU by itself does not provide for any projects subject to CEQA  
23 review. An Implementation Plan is needed to identify specific projects. Watermaster  
24 acknowledges that another predicate that has not yet been developed is an amendment to the  
25 Peace Agreement:

26 **“Furthermore, to implement the 2020 OBMP Update, the parties must update the**  
27 **2000 OBMP Implementation Plan and amend the Peace Agreement.”**  
28

1 (Watermaster's Summary of Engineering Services and Costs for the Budget, emphasis added; *see*  
2 *also* Jones Decl., at 5.) Under Section 10.14 of the Peace Agreement, all such amendments  
3 require unanimous consent of the parties to the Peace Agreement including consent of the Moving  
4 Parties. Such consent is a predicate to the Implementation Plan and any projects that may require  
5 CEQA review.

6 In March 2020, Watermaster initiated a process to facilitate the development of the  
7 Implementation Plan through an amendment to the Peace Agreement. (Watermaster Staff Report  
8 dated Jul. 28, 2022, Exhibit 8 to RJN; *see also* Jones Decl., at ¶ 2.) An orientation meeting and  
9 the first Implementation Plan drafting session were held in March 2020. However, the process  
10 was put on hold and has yet to resume. (*Ibid.*)

11 In light of the stalled process to develop an Implementation Plan for the OBMPU, the  
12 Moving Parties were surprised to find line items in Watermaster's proposed FY 2022-2023  
13 budget for CEQA review. (see Jones Decl., at ¶¶ 2-3.) The budget includes \$276,799 for  
14 environmental review and other technical work (account 6906.26) along with \$126,200 for legal  
15 support (account 6907.45), for a total of \$402,999. (*Ibid.*)

16 On May 2, 2022, the Moving Parties wrote to Watermaster and registered their objections  
17 to these items in the proposed budget. (Jones Decl., at ¶ 6, Exh. A.) Their letter sought  
18 justification for whether Watermaster intends to proceed with OBMPU-related work prior to the  
19 necessary Peace Agreement amendment. In the letter, Moving Parties proposed that Watermaster  
20 reconvene meetings pertaining to the Implementation Plan, in order to allow the parties  
21 responsible for implementation to first develop the scope of an updated Implementation Plan and  
22 negotiate a corresponding amendment to the Peace Agreement. CEQA review of the  
23 environmental impacts would be conducted thereafter, as needed. (*Ibid.*)

24 Moving Parties wrote to Watermaster again on May 25, 2022, further questioning  
25 Watermaster's inclusion of expenditures for OBMPU CEQA review in Watermaster's FY 2022-  
26 2023 proposed budget and requesting a written legal opinion from Watermaster legal counsel that  
27 "identifies both the CEQA 'project' description and the provision(s) in the Chino Basin Judgment  
28 ///

1 and/or Peace Agreements, and/or any other agreement among the parties to the Judgment, which  
2 authorizes such expenditures.” (Jones Decl., at ¶ 7, Exh. B.)

3           The May 25 letter also identified a potential conflict of interest arising from  
4 Watermaster’s apparent intention to finance and support IEUA’s PEIR, where IEUA is itself a  
5 proponent of a proposed OBMPU project (i.e., the Chino Basin Program) that requires  
6 Watermaster approval under the Judgment. (Jones Decl., at ¶ 7, Exh. B.) The letter requested  
7 that Watermaster’s legal opinion address this apparent conflict of interest. (*Ibid.*)

8           Watermaster never provided the requested opinion despite a further written request on  
9 June 21, 2022. (Jones Decl., at ¶ 8, Exh. C.) Watermaster’s Board adopted the FY 2022-2023  
10 budget at its May 26, 2022 meeting. (Minutes dated May 26, 2022, Exhibit 7 to RJN.)

11           On July 28, 2022, Watermaster convened a special meeting of its Board Members  
12 specifically to address the Moving Parties’ request for a legal opinion. (Watermaster Staff Report  
13 dated Jul. 28, 2022, Exhibit 8 to RJN; Meeting Transcript, Exhibit 9 to RJN; *see also* Jones Decl.,  
14 at ¶ 9.) At the end of this meeting, the Board directed Watermaster staff to gather stakeholder  
15 input and develop a project description for the PEIR and proceed with the effort within  
16 Watermaster’s approved budget. Such direction pre-supposed the need for a PEIR for CEQA  
17 review and endorsed IEUA as the CEQA lead agency, neither of which decisions is appropriately  
18 made by a non-CEQA judicial branch entity such as Watermaster. Watermaster also refused to  
19 provide the requested written legal opinion. (*Ibid.*)

20           In connection with ongoing discussions between Watermaster and the Moving Parties,  
21 Watermaster extended the deadline for Moving Parties to challenge its budget action under  
22 Section 31 of the Judgment by an additional thirty (30) days from Watermaster’s July 28 special  
23 meeting. This Motion is timely submitted within the thirty days.

24 **III. LEGAL ARGUMENT**

25           Watermaster’s budget action to finance unauthorized and premature CEQA review wrests  
26 control over the OBMPU Implementation Plan from the parties to the Peace Agreement,  
27 including Moving Parties, that are public agencies with authority to identify “projects” and  
28 subject them to CEQA review. The unauthorized PEIR inappropriately favors the interests of

1 certain parties to the Peace Agreement over others, given the lack of a Peace Agreement  
2 amendment necessary to establish which projects should be advanced. Watermaster has endorsed  
3 IEUA to act as lead agency for the PEIR, despite Watermaster's lack of authority to take such a  
4 CEQA action and the absence of an agreement among the parties to the Peace Agreement, which  
5 include public agencies responsible for CEQA. The all-important CEQA lead agency role allows  
6 IEUA to advance its own proposed projects such as the controversial Chino Basin Program,  
7 despite the absence of an agreement among the parties to include the Chino Basin Program in the  
8 Implementation Plan, and the preference IEUA is likely to give the Chino Basin Program over  
9 other potential projects.

10 **A. Watermaster Lacks Independent Authority to Fund CEQA Review.**

11 As an arm of the Court, Watermaster is not authorized to conduct environmental review  
12 pursuant to CEQA. The responsibility to conduct CEQA review arises when a "public agency"  
13 undertakes or supports a "project," as defined in CEQA. (Pub. Res. Code § 21065.) "Public  
14 agency' includes any state agency, board, or commission and any local or regional agency, . . . . It  
15 does not include the courts of the state. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15379; see also *Picayune*  
16 *Rancheria of Chukchansi Indians v. Brown* (2014) 229 Cal.App.4th 1416, 1422-1423 [CEQA is  
17 interpreted literally, not broadly].) Watermaster is an arm of the court (i.e., a judicial entity) and  
18 not a public agency within the meaning of CEQA. A watermaster's implementation of a physical  
19 solution under the court's supervision is not subject to CEQA. (*Hillside Memorial Park &*  
20 *Mortuary, supra*, 205 Cal.App.4th at p.550 ["[w]here a physical solution is in place, a public  
21 agency may not order preparation of an EIR under CEQA that conflicts with the court order"  
22 because the court's continuing jurisdiction "deprives the [agency] of authority to order CEQA  
23 compliance."]; see also Slater, 1 California Water Law and Policy § 11.10.

24 Rather than any Watermaster action or decision regarding the OBMP, it is the  
25 participation of public agency parties, such as the Moving Parties, in a project that gives rise to  
26 obligations to comply with CEQA. (Pub. Res. Code § 21065 [CEQA definition of "project"  
27 includes certain activities undertaken or supported by "public agencies"].). Prior court orders  
28 have recognized that CEQA obligations arise when public agency parties agree to implement

1 projects in furtherance of the OBMP. For example, the Court Order approving Peace II states as  
2 follows: “By April 1, 2008, Watermaster shall report to the Court on the status of CEQA  
3 documentation, compliance, and requirements, and provide the Court with assurances that  
4 Watermaster’s approval and participation in any project that is a ‘project’ for CEQA purposes has  
5 been or will be subject to all appropriate CEQA review.” (Court Order entered Dec. 21, 2007,  
6 Exhibit 10 to RJN.)

7 Parties to the Peace Agreement have not yet reached any agreement on any project of the  
8 OBMPU Implementation Plan and corresponding Peace Agreement amendment that are  
9 necessary to establish which projects should be implemented in connection with the OBMPU.  
10 Therefore, any participation in CEQA review by Watermaster is both unauthorized and  
11 premature.

12 **B. Watermaster Lacks Authority to Fund or Conduct CEQA Review of OBMPU**  
13 **Projects Without Consent of the Parties to the Peace Agreement.**

14 Watermaster is not authorized by the Judgment or otherwise to fund or conduct CEQA  
15 review of projects under OBMPU unless there is agreement among the Parties to the Peace  
16 Agreement. As explained above, “projects” under CEQA are undertaken by “public agencies” (as  
17 defined in CEQA), not by judicial branch entities such as Watermaster. A non-CEQA agency  
18 such as Watermaster cannot make CEQA decisions such as selecting a lead agency and deciding  
19 the scope of CEQA review. Instead, “public agencies” (including Moving Parties) are obligated  
20 under CEQA to make such decision.

21 While Section 41 of the Judgment grants discretionary powers to Watermaster to develop  
22 an OBMP for the Chino Basin, nothing in the Judgment supersedes CEQA and empowers  
23 Watermaster to implement projects subject to CEQA or conduct CEQA review. The Judgment is  
24 interpreted like a contract to effectuate the mutual intention of the stipulating parties. (Slater, 1  
25 California Water Law and Policy § 11.10, citing *Rancho Pauma Mutual Water Co. v. Yuima*  
26 *Municipal Water Dist.* (2015) 239 Cal.App.4th 109 for the proposition that stipulated judgments  
27 are interpreted like contracts.)

28 ///



1           The authority of a watermaster is strictly limited by the governing documents (*Orange*  
2 *Cove Irrigation Dist. v. Los Molinos Mutual Water Co.*, *supra*, 30 Cal.App.5th at pp. 21-22), and  
3 the Judgment assigns Watermaster the limited role of administering the Physical Solution  
4 established for the Basin. (See Judge Gunn order from Dec. 2007; see also *Dow v. Lassen*  
5 *Irrigation Co.* 75 Cal.App.5th 482 [Watermaster’s role is limited to judgment administration]).  
6 Therefore, any ability of Watermaster to participate in projects subject to CEQA arises from  
7 subsequent agreements among parties to the Judgment, such as the Peace Agreement and Peace  
8 II. For example, the initial implementation plan and suite of projects under the 2000 OBMP was  
9 approved by unanimous consent of the parties to the Peace Agreement. Similarly, in connection  
10 with Peace II, CEQA review was conducted after the parties agreed to Peace II measures. (See  
11 Peace II, Exhibit 5 to RJN, at § 2.3 and Exh. 2 Planning Schedule.) In the absence of such  
12 agreements, Watermaster lacks authority to provide funding and other support for CEQA review.

13           **C. Parties Cannot Be Compelled to Pay for Watermaster Support of IEUA’s**  
14           **PEIR for the OBMPU.**

15           The Judgment limits Watermaster expenditures to the administration of the Physical  
16 Solution defined in the Judgment. Such expenses are categorized as either: (a) general  
17 Watermaster administrative expenses, which include “office rental, general personnel expense,  
18 supplies and office equipment, and related incidental expense and general overhead”; or (b)  
19 special project expenses, which include “special engineering, economic or other studies, litigation  
20 expense, meter testing or other major operating expenses.” (Judgment, Exhibit 1 to RJN, at § 54.)  
21 The Judgment does not authorize Watermaster to make expenditures for CEQA activities or  
22 compliance, and as a matter of law, Watermaster is an arm of the Court that may not conduct  
23 CEQA review.

24           **D. Watermaster’s Funding of CEQA and Endorsement of IEUA as Lead Agency**  
25           **for CEQA Review Is Inappropriate Because it Violates Watermaster’s**  
26           **Neutrality as an Arm of the Court.**

27           As discussed above, Watermaster is not authorized to undertake any CEQA activity under  
28 CEQA and the Judgment. Accordingly, Watermaster’s endorsement of IEUA as CEQA lead

1 agency status for the PEIR is inappropriate. When two or more public agencies have a  
2 “substantial claim” to serve as CEQA lead agency for a project, as is the case here, the agencies  
3 may designate one agency as lead agency by agreement. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, §15051, subd.  
4 (d); see also *Center for Biological Diversity v. County of San Bernardino* (2016) 247 Cal.App.4th  
5 326, 343 [public agencies may determine lead agency by agreement].). Public agencies that may  
6 have a claim to serve as lead agency depending on what OBMPU project(s) ultimately are  
7 selected by the parties include the Chino Basin Desalter Authority and the Chino Basin Water  
8 Conservation District. However, Watermaster’s budget action to finance CEQA review  
9 effectively designates IEUA to serve as lead agency, despite the lack of any agreement of the  
10 parties to this effect. Watermaster’s action in designating or endorsing IEUA, a party to the  
11 Judgment, as the lead agency violates its neutrality as an arm of the Court.

12 IEUA is an inappropriate lead agency because of its proposed Chino Basin Program.  
13 Several other parties to the Judgment are Chino Basin Program “partners” with IEUA; however,  
14 no Basin-wide agreements have been reached. The Chino Basin Program competes with other  
15 potential projects that the parties ultimately may select.<sup>7</sup> The lead agency role in connection with  
16 Watermaster’s OBMPU gives IEUA the ability to advance its own proposed projects such as the  
17 Chino Basin Program at the expense of other parties, despite the existence of differing priorities  
18 among parties to the Judgment and conflicts of interest. For example, IEUA needs Watermaster’s  
19 approval of the Chino Basin Program including an analysis and determination regarding “material  
20 physical injury” (MPI) (See Jones Decl., at ¶ 10), which calls into question Watermaster’s  
21 neutrality. Watermaster’s consulting engineer is already working for IEUA on the Chino Basin  
22 Program. (*Ibid.*) Watermaster and IEUA Boards have held joint workshops on the Chino Basin  
23 Program (*ibid.*), further calling Watermaster’s neutrality into question.

24 Watermaster must remain impartial, unbiased, and neutral in its dealings with parties to  
25 the Judgment:

26 ///

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>7</sup> Ontario has sued IEUA over IEUA’s inadequate evaluation the alternative advanced treatment proposal advocated by Ontario in connection with the Chino Basin Program, as alleged by Ontario in its complaint against IEUA. (See Jones Decl., at ¶ 12.)

1  
2 In its appointed capacity, the watermaster serves “as an arm of the court” in an  
3 impartial and unbiased role. The watermaster's role is merely to administer and  
4 implement the decree; its role is not to champion the rights of some water users  
5 subject to the decree to the detriment of other water users subject to the decree. In  
6 other words, **the watermaster’s role is not to take sides or play favorites.**

7 (*Dow, supra*, 75 Cal.App.5th 482, 489, emphasis added.) Because a “watermaster serves as an  
8 arm of the court to ‘assist the Court in the administration and enforcement of the provisions of  
9 this judgment,’” the role of watermaster is similar to that of a judge and may be held to similar  
10 ethical standards. (*Water Replenishment Dist. of Southern California v. City of Cerritos* (2012)  
11 202 Cal.App.4th 1063, 1072.)

12 Judge Gunn gave a similar, specific admonition to Watermaster in 2007:

13 Although it is not stated in Watermaster's pleadings, it is important to note that it is  
14 not Watermaster's duty to be an advocate for any, or for all, of the parties.  
15 **Watermaster's position with respect to the parties should be neutral.**

16 (Court Order entered Dec. 21, 2007, Exhibit 10 to RJN, at 4:16-19, emphasis added.) Such  
17 admonitions for watermasters to remain neutral underscores the necessity to await direction from  
18 the parties, here, via a Peace Agreement amendment, before providing funding and support for  
19 CEQA review – especially where the self-appointed lead agency, IEUA, is the proponent of an  
20 expensive and controversial project like the Chino Basin Program.

21 Refusal by Watermaster to provide requested legal opinion highlights that Watermaster is  
22 expending funds on unauthorized CEQA analysis without the requisite legal authority and in  
23 violation of the neutrality principal.

#### 24 **IV. CONCLUSION**


25 For all of the foregoing reasons, the Moving Parties respectfully request that this Court  
26 enter an order declaring that: (1) the May 26, 2022 Watermaster budget action is invalid to the  
27 extent said action appropriates and/or allocates funding to conduct CEQA review in connection  
28 with the OBMPU; (2) any assessments by Watermaster are invalid and unenforceable to the  
extent based on budget allocation(s) to conduct CEQA review in connection with the OBMPU;  
and (3) parties to the Judgment are not obligated to pay the corresponding portion of assessments  
by Watermaster. Further, the Moving Parties respectfully request that this Court order

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Watermaster not to expend any funds on CEQA review until after the parties to the Peace Agreement reach agreement among themselves and provide direction to Watermaster regarding implementing actions and projects that require CEQA review in connection with the OBMPU.

Dated: August 26, 2022

NOSSAMAN LLP  
FREDERIC A. FUDACZ  
GINA R. NICHOLLS

By: 

Frederic A. Fudacz  
Attorneys for CITY OF ONTARIO

[SIGNATURES CONTINUE ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: August 26, 2022

KIDMAN GAGEN LAW LLP

By: Andrew B. Gagen by/GAG

Andrew B. Gagen

Attorneys for MONTE VISTA WATER DISTRICT  
and MONTE VISTA IRRIGATION COMPANY

Dated: August 26, 2022

JIMMY L. GUTIERREZ LAW CORPORATION

By: Jimmy L. Gutierrez by/GAG

Jimmy L. Gutierrez

Attorneys for CITY OF CHINO

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

Case No. RCVRS 51010

Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730; telephone (909) 484-3888.

On August 26, 2022 I served the following:

1. MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION CHALLENGING WATERMASTER'S BUDGET ACTION TO FUND UNAUTHORIZED CEQA REVIEW

/X/ BY MAIL: in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed with postage thereon fully prepaid, for delivery by United States Postal Service mail at Rancho Cucamonga, California, addresses as follows:

**See attached service list:** Master Email Distribution List

/ / BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee.

/ / BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted said document by fax transmission from (909) 484-3890 to the fax number(s) indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting fax machine.

/X/ BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I transmitted notice of availability of electronic documents by electronic transmission to the email address indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting electronic mail device.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on August 26, 2022 in Rancho Cucamonga, California.



By: Denise Morales  
Chino Basin Watermaster

PAUL HOFER  
11248 S TURNER AVE  
ONTARIO, CA 91761

JEFF PIERSON  
2 HEXAM  
IRVINE, CA 92603

**Members:**

Agnes Cheng	agnes.cheng@cc.sbcounty.gov
Al Lopez	alopez@wmwd.com
Alan Frost	Alan.Frost@dpw.sbcounty.gov
Alberto Mendoza	Alberto.Mendoza@cmc.com
Alejandro R. Reyes	arreyes@sgvwater.com
Alexis Mascarinas	AMascarinas@ontarioca.gov
Alfonso Ruiz	alfonso.ruiz@cmc.com
Allen Hubsch	ahubsch@hubschlaw.com
Alma Heustis	alma.heustis@californiasteel.com
Alonso Jurado	ajurado@cbwm.org
Amanda Coker	amandac@cvwdwater.com
Amer Jakher	AJakher@cityofchino.org
Amy Bonczewski	ABonczewski@ontarioca.gov
Andrew Gagen	agagen@kidmanlaw.com
Andy Campbell	acampbell@ieua.org
Andy Malone	amalone@westyost.com
Angelica Todd	angelica.todd@ge.com
Angelo Simoes	Angelo.Simoes@linde.com
Anna Nelson	atruongnelson@cbwm.org
Anthony Alberti	aalberti@sgvwater.com
April Robitaille	arobitaille@bhfs.com
Armando Martinez	amartinez@fontana.org
Art Bennett	citycouncil@chinohills.org
Arthur Kidman	akidman@kidmanlaw.com
Ashok Dhingra	ash@akdconsulting.com
Ben Lewis	benjamin.lewis@gswater.com
Ben Peralta	bperalta@tvmwd.com
Benjamin M. Weink	ben.weink@tetrattech.com
Beth.McHenry	Beth.McHenry@hoferranch.com
Betty Anderson	banderson@jcsd.us
Betty Folsom	bfolsom@jcsd.us
Bill Schwartz	bschwartz@mwwd.org
Bob Bowcock	bbowcock@irmwater.com
Bob DiPrimio	rjdiprimio@sgvwater.com
Bob Feenstra	bobfeenstra@gmail.com
Bob Kuhn	bkuhn@tvmwd.com
Bob Kuhn	bgkuhn@aol.com
Bob Page	Bob.Page@rov.sbcounty.gov
Brad Herrema	bherrema@bhfs.com
Braden Yu	Byu@ci.upland.ca.us
Bradley Jensen	bradley.jensen@cao.sbcounty.gov
Brandi Belmontes	BBelmontes@ontarioca.gov
Brandi Goodman-Decoud	bgdecoud@mwwd.org
Brandon Howard	brahoward@niagarawater.com
Brenda Fowler	balee@fontanawater.com
Brent Yamasaki	byamasaki@mwdh2o.com
Brian Dickinson	bdickinson65@gmail.com
Brian Geye	bgeye@autoclubspeedway.com
Brian Lee	blee@sawaterco.com
Bryan Smith	bsmith@jcsd.us
Carmen Sierra	carmens@cvwdwater.com
Carol Boyd	Carol.Boyd@doj.ca.gov



Carolina Sanchez	csanchez@westyost.com
Casey Costa	ccosta@chinodesalter.org
Cassandra Hooks	chooks@niagarawater.com
Catharine Irvine	cirvine@DowneyBrand.com
Chad Blais	cblais@ci.norco.ca.us
Chander Letulle	cletulle@jcsd.us
Charles Field	cdfield@att.net
Charles Moorrees	cmoorrees@sawaterco.com
Chino Hills City Council	citycouncil@chinohills.org
Chris Berch	cberch@jcsd.us
Chris Diggs	Chris_Diggs@ci.pomona.ca.us
Christiana Daisy	cdaisy@ieua.org
Christofer Coppinger	ccoppinger@geoscience-water.com
Christopher M. Sanders	cms@eslawfirm.com
Christopher Quach	cquach@ontarioca.gov
Christopher R. Guillen	cguillen@bhfs.com
Cindy Cisneros	cindyc@cvwdwater.com
Cindy Li	Cindy.li@waterboards.ca.gov
Courtney Jones	cjjones@ontarioca.gov
Craig Miller	CMiller@wmwd.com
Craig Stewart	craig.stewart@woodplc.com
Cris Fealy	cifealy@fontanawater.com
Dan Arrighi	darrighi@sgvwater.com
Dan McKinney	dmckinney@douglascountylaw.com
Daniel Bobadilla	dbobadilla@chinohills.org
Danny Kim	dkim@linklogistics.com
Dave Argo	daveargo46@icloud.com
Dave Crosley	DCrosley@cityofchino.org
David Aladjem	daladjem@downeybrand.com
David De Jesus	ddejesus@tvmwd.com
David Huynh	dhuynh@cbwm.org
Dawn Forgeur	dawn.forgeur@stoel.com
Dawn Martin	Dawn.Martin@cc.sbcounty.gov
Denise Garzaro	dgarzaro@ieua.org
Dennis Mejia	dmejia@ontarioca.gov
Dennis Williams	dwilliams@geoscience-water.com
Diana Frederick	diana.frederick@cdcr.ca.gov
Ed Means	edmeans@roadrunner.com
Edgar Tellez Foster	etellezfoster@cbwm.org
Eduardo Espinoza	EduardoE@cvwdwater.com
Edward Kolodziej	edward.kolodziej@ge.com
Elizabeth M. Calciano	ecalciano@hensleylawgroup.com
Elizabeth P. Ewens	elizabeth.ewens@stoel.com
Elizabeth Skrzat	ESkrzat@cbwcd.org
Eric Fordham	eric_fordham@geopentech.com
Eric Garner	eric.garner@bbklaw.com
Eric Grubb	ericg@cvwdwater.com
Eric Papathakis	Eric.Papathakis@cdcr.ca.gov
Eric Tarango	edtarango@fontanawater.com
Erika Clement	Erika.clement@sce.com
Eunice Ulloa	eulloa@cityofchino.org
Evette Ounanian	EvetteO@cvwdwater.com
Frank Yoo	FrankY@cbwm.org
Fred Fudacz	ffudacz@nossaman.com

Fred Galante fgalante@awattorneys.com  
Garrett Rapp grapp@westyost.com  
Gene Tanaka Gene.Tanaka@bbklaw.com  
Geoffrey Kamansky gkamansky@niagarawater.com  
Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel geoffreyvh60@gmail.com  
Gerald Yahr yahrj@koll.com  
Gina Gomez ggomez@ontarioca.gov  
Gina Nicholls gnicholls@nossaman.com  
Gino L. Filippi Ginoffvine@aol.com  
Gracie Torres gtorres@wmwd.com  
Grant Mann GMann@dpw.sbcounty.gov  
Greg Woodside gwoodside@ocwd.com  
Gregor Larabee Gregor.Larabee@cdcr.ca.gov  
Ha T. Nguyen ha.nguyen@stoel.com  
Henry DeHaan Hdehaan1950@gmail.com  
Irene Islas irene.islas@bbklaw.com  
James Curatalo jamesc@cvwdwater.com  
James Jenkins cnomgr@airports.sbcounty.gov  
James McKenzie jmckenzie@dpw.sbcounty.gov  
Jane Anderson janderson@jcsd.us  
Janelle S.H. Krattiger, Esq janelle.krattiger@stoel.com  
Janine Wilson JWilson@cbwm.org  
Jasmin A. Hall jhall@ieua.org  
Jason Marseilles jmarseilles@ieua.org  
Jason Pivovarovoff JPivovarovoff@wmwd.com  
Jayne Joy Jayne.Joy@waterboards.ca.gov  
Jean Cihigoyenetcher Jean@thejclawfirm.com  
Jeff Evers jevers@niagarawater.com  
Jeff Mosher jmosher@sawpa.org  
Jeffrey L. Pierson jpierson@intexcorp.com  
Jennifer Hy-Luk jhyluk@ieua.org  
Jeremy N. Jungries jjungreis@rutan.com  
Jessie Ruedas Jessie@thejclawfirm.com  
Jim Markman jmarkman@rwglaw.com  
Jim W. Bowman jbowman@ontarioca.gov  
Jimmy Gutierrez - Law Offices of Jimmy Gutierrez

Jimmy L. Gutierrez jimmylaredo@gmail.com  
Jimmy Medrano Jimmy@City-Attorney.com  
Jiwon Seung Jaime.medrano2@cdcr.ca.gov  
Joanne Chan JiwonS@cvwdwater.com  
Joao Feitoza jchan@wvwd.org  
Jody Roberto joao.feitoza@cmc.com  
Joe Graziano jroberto@tvmwd.com  
Joe Joswiak jgraz4077@aol.com  
Joel Ignacio JJoswiak@cbwm.org  
John Abusham jignacio@ieua.org  
John Bosler john.abusham@nrg.com  
John Harper johnb@cvwdwater.com  
John Huitsing jrharper@harperburns.com  
John Lopez johnhuitsing@gmail.com  
John Lopez and Nathan Cole jlopez@sarwc.com  
John Mendoza customerservice@sarwc.com  
John Partridge jmendoza@tvmwd.com  
jpartridge@angelica.com

John Schatz	jschatz13@cox.net
John Thornton	JThorntonPE@H2OExpert.net
Jose A Galindo	Jose.A.Galindo@linde.com
Josh Swift	jmswift@fontanawater.com
Joshua Aguilar	jaguilar@ieua.org
Justin Brokaw	jbrokaw@marygoldmutualwater.com
Justin Nakano	JNakano@cbwm.org
Justin Scott-Coe Ph. D.	jscottcoe@mvwd.org
Kaitlyn Dodson-Hamilton	kaitlyn@tdaenv.com
Karen Williams	kwilliams@sawpa.org
Kathleen Brundage	kathleen.brundage@californiasteel.com
Kati Parker	katiandcraig@verizon.net
Keith Person	keith.person@waterboards.ca.gov
Ken Waring	kwaring@jcsd.us
Kevin O'Toole	kotoole@ocwd.com
Kevin Sage	Ksage@IRMwater.com
Kristina Robb	KRobb@cc.sbcounty.gov
Kurt Berchtold	kberchtold@gmail.com
Kyle Brochard	KBrochard@rwglaw.com
Kyle Snay	kylesnay@gswater.com
Laura Mantilla	lmantilla@ieua.org
Laura Roughton	lroughton@wmwd.com
Laura Yraceburu	lyraceburu@bhfs.com
Lauren V. Neuhaus, Esq.	lauren.neuhaus@stoel.com
Lee McElhaney	lmcElhaney@bmklawplc.com
Linda Jadeski	ljadeski@wvwd.org
Lisa Lemoine	LLemoine@wmwd.com
Liz Hurst	ehurst@ieua.org
Manny Martinez	directormartinez@mvwd.org
Marcella Correa	MCorrea@rwglaw.com
Marco Tule	mtule@ieua.org
Maria Ayala	mayala@jcsd.us
Maria Insixiengmay	Maria.Insxiengmay@cc.sbcounty.gov
Maria Mendoza	mmendoza@westyost.com
Maribel Sosa	msosa@ci.pomona.ca.us
Marilyn Levin	marilyn.levin@doj.ca.gov
Mark D. Hensley	mhensley@hensleylawgroup.com
Mark Wildermuth	mwildermuth@westyost.com
Mark Wiley	mwiley@chinohills.org
Martin Cihigoyenetché	marty@thejclawfirm.com
Martin Rauch	martin@rauchcc.com
Martin Zvirbulis	mezvirbulis@sgvwater.com
Mathew C. Ballantyne	mballantyne@cityofchino.org
Matthew H. Litchfield	mlitchfield@tvmwd.com
May Atencio	matencio@fontana.org
Melanie Trevino	Mtrevino@jcsd.us
Michael A. Blazevec	mblazevec@westyost.com
Michael Adler	michael.adler@mcmcnet.net
Michael B. Brown, Esq.	michael.brown@stoel.com
Michael Fam	mfam@dpw.sbcounty.gov
Michael P. Thornton	mthornton@tkeengineering.com
Michelle Licea	mlicea@mvwd.org
Michelle Staples	mstaples@jacksontidus.law
Mike Gardner	mgardner@wmwd.com

Mike Maestas	mikem@cvwdwater.com
Miriam Garcia	mgarcia@ieua.org
Moore, Toby	TobyMoore@gswater.com
MWDProgram	MWDProgram@sdcwa.org
Nadia Aguirre	naguirre@tvmwd.com
Natalie Costaglio	natalie.costaglio@mcmcnet.net
Nathan deBoom	n8deboom@gmail.com
Neetu Gupta	ngupta@ieua.org
Nichole Horton	Nichole.Horton@pomonaca.gov
Nick Jacobs	njacobs@somachlaw.com
Nicole deMoet	ndemoet@ci.upland.ca.us
Nicole Escalante	NEscalante@ontarioca.gov
Noah Golden-Krasner	Noah.goldenkrasner@doj.ca.gov
Parker Simon	psimon@bhfs.com
Paul Deutsch	paul.deutsch@woodplc.com
Paul Hofer	farmerhofer@aol.com
Paul Hofer	farmwatchtoo@aol.com
Paul S. Leon	pleon@ontarioca.gov
Pete Hall	rpetehall@gmail.com
Pete Hall	pete.hall@cdcr.ca.gov
Pete Vicario	PVicario@cityofchino.org
Peter Hettinga	peterhettinga@yahoo.com
Peter Kavounas	PKavounas@cbwm.org
Peter Rogers	progers@chinohills.org
Rachel Avila	R.Avila@MPGLAW.com
Randy Visser	RVisser@sheppardmullin.com
Richard Anderson	horsfly1@yahoo.com
Rick Rees	richard.rees@woodplc.com
Rickey S. Manbahal	smanbahal@wwd.org
Rita Pro	rpro@cityofchino.org
Robert C. Hawkins	RHawkins@earthlink.net
Robert DeLoach	robertadeloach1@gmail.com
Robert E. Donlan	red@eslawfirm.com
Robert Neufeld	robneu1@yahoo.com
Robert Wagner	rwagner@wbecorp.com
Ron Craig	Rcraig21@icloud.com
Ron LaBrucherie, Jr.	ronLaBrucherie@gmail.com
Ronald C. Pietersma	rcpietersma@aol.com
Ruben Llamas	rllamas71@yahoo.com
Ruby Favela	rfavela@cbwm.org
Ryan Shaw	RShaw@wmwd.com
Sam Nelson	snelson@ci.norco.ca.us
Sam Rubenstein	srubenstein@wpcarey.com
Sandra S. Rose	directorrose@mwwd.org
Sarah Foley	Sarah.Foley@bbklaw.com
Scott Burton	sburton@ontarioca.gov
Scott Slater	sslater@bhfs.com
Seth J. Zielke	sjzielke@fontanawater.com
Shawnda M. Grady	sgrady@eslawfirm.com
Sheila D. Brown	sheila.brown@stoel.com
Shivaji Deshmukh	sdeshmukh@ieua.org
Skylar Stephens	SStephens@sdcwa.org
slee@tvmwd.com	slee@tvmwd.com
Sonya Barber	sbarber@ci.upland.ca.us

Sonya Zite	szite@wmwd.com
Stephanie Reimer	SReimer@mwwd.org
Stephen Deitsch	stephen.deitsch@bbklaw.com
Steve Kennedy	skennedy@bmklawplc.com
Steve M. Anderson	steve.anderson@bbklaw.com
Steve Nix	snix@ci.upland.ca.us
Steve Riboli	steve.riboli@sanantoniowinery.com
Steve Smith	ssmith@ieua.org
Steve W. Ledbetter, PE	sledbetter@tkeengineering.com
Steven Andrews Engineering	sandrews@sandrewsengineering.com
Steven Flower	sflower@rwglaw.com
Steven J. Elie	selie@ieua.org
Steven J. Elie	s.elie@mpglaw.com
Steven Popelar	spopelar@jcsd.us
Steven Raughley	Steven.Raughley@isd.sbcounty.gov
Susan Palmer	spalmer@kidmanlaw.com
Tammi Ford	tford@wmwd.com
Tariq Awan	Tariq.Awan@cdcr.ca.gov
Tarren Torres	tarren@egoscuelaw.com
Taya Victorino	tayav@cvwdwater.com
Teri Layton	tlayton@sawaterco.com
Terry Catlin	tlcatlin@wfajpa.org
Tim Barr	tbarr@wmwd.com
Tim Kellett	tkellett@tvmwd.com
Tim Moore	tmoore@westyost.com
Timothy Ryan	tjryan@sgwater.com
Toby Moore	TobyMoore@gswater.com
Todd Minten	tminten@sbcglobal.net
Tom Barnes	tbarnes@esassoc.com
Tom Bunn	TomBunn@Lagerlof.com
Tom Cruikshank	tcruikshank@linklogistics.com
Tom Dodson (tda@tdaenv.com)	tda@tdaenv.com
Tom Harder	tharder@thomashardercompany.com
Tom McPeters	THMcP@aol.com
Tom O'Neill	toneill@chinodesalter.org
Toni Medell	mmedel@mbakerintl.com
Tony Long	tlong@angelica.com
Toyasha Sebbag	tsebbag@cbwcd.org
Tracy J. Egoscue	tracy@egoscuelaw.com
Van Jew	vjew@wvwd.org
Veronica Tristan	vtristan@jcsd.us
Veva Weamer	vweamer@westyost.com
Victor Preciado	Victor_Preciado@ci.pomona.ca.us
Vivian Castro	vcastro@cityofchino.org
Wade Fultz	Wade.Fultz@cmc.com
WestWater Research, LLC	research@waterexchange.com
William J Brunick	bbrunick@bmblawoffice.com
William McDonnell	wmcdonnell@ieua.org
William Urena	wurena@emeraldus.com

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

Case No. RCVRS 51010

Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the action within. My business address is Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730; telephone (909) 484-3888.

On February 20, 2025 I served the following:

1. REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY'S MOTION FOR COSTS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES PURSUANT TO CIVIL CODE §1717 AND CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE §1033.5

BY MAIL: in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed with postage thereon fully prepaid, for delivery by the United States Postal Service mail at Rancho Cucamonga, California, addresses as follows:

**See attached service list:** Mailing List 1

BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee.


BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted said document by fax transmission from (909) 484-3890 to the fax number(s) indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting fax machine.

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I transmitted notice of availability of electronic documents by electronic transmission to the email address indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting electronic mail device.

**See attached service list:** Master Email Distribution List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on February 20, 2025 in Rancho Cucamonga, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
By: Ruby Favela Quintero  
Chino Basin Watermaster

PAUL HOFER  
11248 S TURNER AVE  
ONTARIO, CA 91761

JEFF PIERSON  
2 HEXHAM  
IRVINE, CA 92603

## **Ruby Favela Quintero**

---

**Contact Group Name:** Master Email Distribution

**Categories:** Main Email Lists



## Members:

Aimee Zhao	azhao@ieua.org
Alan Frost	Alan.Frost@dpw.sbcounty.gov
Alberto Mendoza	Alberto.Mendoza@cmc.com
Alejandro R. Reyes	arreyes@sgvwater.com
Alex Padilla	Alex.Padilla@wsp.com
Alexis Mascarinas	AMascarinas@ontarioca.gov
Alfonso Ruiz	alfonso.ruiz@cmc.com
Alonso Jurado	ajurado@cbwm.org
Alyssa Coronado	acoronado@sarwc.com
Amanda Coker	amandac@cvwdwater.com
Amy Bonczewski	ABonczewski@ontarioca.gov
Andrew Gagen	agagen@kidmanlaw.com
Andy Campbell	acampbell@ieua.org
Andy Malone	amalone@westyost.com
Angelica Todd	angelica.todd@ge.com
Anna Nelson	atruongnelson@cbwm.org
Anthony Alberti	aalberti@sgvwater.com
April Robitaille	arobitaille@bhfs.com
Art Bennett	citycouncil@chinohills.org
Arthur Kidman	akidman@kidmanlaw.com
Ashley Zapp	ashley.zapp@cmc.com
Ashok Dhingra	ash@akdconsulting.com
Ben Lewis	benjamin.lewis@gswater.com
Ben Markham	bmarkham@bhfs.com
Ben Orosco	Borosco@cityofchino.org
Ben Roden	BenR@cvwdwater.com
Benjamin M. Weink	ben.weink@tetrattech.com
Beth.McHenry	Beth.McHenry@hoferranch.com
Bill Schwartz	bschwartz@mwwd.org
Bill Velto	bvelto@uplandca.gov
Board Support Team IEUA	BoardSupportTeam@ieua.org
Bob Bowcock	bbowcock@irmwater.com
Bob DiPrimio	rjdiprimio@sgvwater.com
Bob Feenstra	bobfeenstra@gmail.com
Bob Kuhn	bkuhn@tvmwd.com
Bob Kuhn	bgkuhn@aol.com
Bob Page	Bob.Page@rov.sbcounty.gov
Brad Herrema	bherrema@bhfs.com
Bradley Jensen	bradley.jensen@cao.sbcounty.gov
Brandi Belmontes	BBelmontes@ontarioca.gov
Brandi Goodman-Decoud	bgdecoud@mwwd.org
Brandon Howard	brahoward@niagarawater.com
Brenda Fowler	balee@fontanawater.com
Brent Yamasaki	byamasaki@mwdh2o.com
Brian Dickinson	bdickinson65@gmail.com
Brian Geye	bgeye@autoclubspeedway.com
Brian Lee	blee@sawaterco.com
Bryan Smith	bsmith@jcsd.us
Carmen Sierra	carmens@cvwdwater.com
Carol Boyd	Carol.Boyd@doj.ca.gov
Carolina Sanchez	csanchez@westyost.com

Casey Costa  
Cassandra Hooks  
Chad Blais  
Chad Nishida  
Chander Letulle  
Charles Field  
Charles Moorrees  
Chino Hills City Council  
Chris Berch  
Chris Diggs  
Christen Miller  
Christensen, Rebecca A  
Christopher M. Sanders  
Christopher R. Guillen  
Cindy Cisneros  
Cindy Li  
City of Chino, Administration Department

ccosta@chinodesalter.org  
chooks@niagarawater.com  
cblais@ci.norco.ca.us  
CNishida@ontarioca.gov  
cletulle@jcsd.us  
cdfield@att.net  
cmoorrees@sawaterco.com  
citycouncil@chinohills.org  
cberch@jcsd.us  
Chris\_Diggs@ci.pomona.ca.us  
Christen.Miller@cao.sbcounty.gov  
rebecca\_christensen@fws.gov  
cms@eslawfirm.com  
cguillen@bhfs.com  
cindyc@cvwdwater.com  
Cindy.li@waterboards.ca.gov

Courtney Jones  
Craig Miller  
Craig Stewart  
Cris Fealy  
Curtis Burton  
Dan McKinney  
Daniel Bobadilla  
Daniela Uriarte  
Danny Kim  
Dave Argo  
Dave Crosley  
Dave Schroeder  
David Barnes  
David De Jesus  
Dawn Varacchi-Ives  
Denise Garzaro  
Dennis Mejia  
Dennis Williams  
Derek Hoffman  
Diana Frederick  
Ed Diggs  
Ed Means  
Eddie Lin  
Edgar Tellez Foster  
Eduardo Espinoza  
Elizabeth M. Calciano  
Elizabeth P. Ewens  
Elizabeth Willis  
Eric Fordham  
Eric Garner  
Eric Grubb  
Eric Lindberg PG,CHG  
Eric N. Robinson  
Eric Papathakis  
Eric Tarango

administration@cityofchino.org  
cjones@ontarioca.gov  
CMiller@wmwd.com  
craig.stewart@wsp.com  
cifealy@fontanawater.com  
CBurton@cityofchino.org  
dmckinney@douglascountylaw.com  
dbobadilla@chinohills.org  
dUriarte@cbwm.org  
dkim@linklogistics.com  
daveargo46@icloud.com  
DCrosley@cityofchino.org  
DSchroeder@cbwcd.org  
DBarnes@geoscience-water.com  
ddejesus@tvmwd.com  
dawn.varacchi@ge.com  
dgarzaro@ieua.org  
dmejia@ontarioca.gov  
dwilliams@geoscience-water.com  
dhoffman@fennemorelaw.com  
diana.frederick@cdcr.ca.gov  
ediggs@uplandca.gov  
edmeans@icloud.com  
elin@ieua.org  
etellezfoster@cbwm.org  
EduardoE@cvwdwater.com  
ecalciano@hensleylawgroup.com  
elizabeth.ewens@stoel.com  
ewillis@cbwcd.org  
eric\_fordham@geopentech.com  
eric.garner@bbklaw.com  
ericg@cvwdwater.com  
eric.lindberg@waterboards.ca.gov  
erobinson@kmtg.com  
Eric.Papathakis@cdcr.ca.gov  
edtarango@fontanawater.com

Erick Jimenez	Erick.Jimenez@nucor.com
Erik Vides	evides@cbwm.org
Erika Clement	Erika.clement@sce.com
Eunice Ulloa	eulloa@cityofchino.org
Evette Ounanian	EvetteO@cvwdwater.com
Frank Yoo	FrankY@cbwm.org
Fred Fudacz	ffudacz@nossaman.com
Fred Galante	fgalante@awattorneys.com
G. Michael Milhiser	directormilhiser@mvwd.org
G. Michael Milhiser	Milhiser@hotmail.com
Garrett Rapp	grapp@westyost.com
Geoffrey Kamansky	gkamansky@niagarawater.com
Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel	geoffreyvh60@gmail.com
Gerald Yahr	yahrj@koll.com
Gina Gomez	ggomez@ontarioca.gov
Gina Nicholls	gnicholls@nossaman.com
Gino L. Filippi	Ginoffvine@aol.com
Gracie Torres	gtorres@wmwd.com
Grant Mann	GMann@dpw.sbcounty.gov
Greg Zarco	Greg.Zarco@airports.sbcounty.gov
Gregor Larabee	Gregor.Larabee@cdcr.ca.gov
Ha T. Nguyen	ha.nguyen@stoel.com
Heather Placencia	heather.placencia@parks.sbcounty.gov
Henry DeHaan	Hdehaan1950@gmail.com
Hvianca Hakim	HHakim@linklogistics.com
Hye Jin Lee	HJLee@cityofchino.org
Imelda Cadigal	Imelda.Cadigal@cdcr.ca.gov
Irene Islas	irene.islas@bbklaw.com
Ivy Capili	ICapili@bhfs.com
James Curatalo	jamesc@cvwdwater.com
Jasmin A. Hall	jhall@ieua.org
Jason Marseilles	jmareilles@ieua.org
Jayne Joy	Jayne.Joy@waterboards.ca.gov
Jean Cihigoyenette	Jean@thejclawfirm.com
Jeff Evers	jevers@niagarawater.com
Jeff Mosher	jmosher@sawpa.org
Jeffrey L. Pierson	jpierson@intexcorp.com
Jenifer Ryan	jrryan@kmtg.com
Jennifer Hy-Luk	jhyluk@ieua.org
Jeremy N. Jungreis	jjungreis@rutan.com
Jesse Pompa	jpompa@jcsd.us
Jessie Ruedas	Jessie@thejclawfirm.com
Jill Keehnen	jill.keehnen@stoel.com
Jim Markman	jmarkman@rwglaw.com
Jim Van de Water	jimvdw@thomashardercompany.com
Jim W. Bowman	jbowman@ontarioca.gov
Jimmie Moffatt	jimmiem@cvwdwater.com
Jimmy Gutierrez - Law Offices of Jimmy Gutierrez	jimmylaredo@gmail.com
Jimmy L. Gutierrez	Jimmy@City-Attorney.com
Jimmy Medrano	Jaime.medrano2@cdcr.ca.gov
Jiwon Seung	JiwonS@cvwdwater.com
Joanne Chan	jchan@wvwd.org

Joao Feitoza	joao.feitoza@cmc.com
Jody Roberto	jroberto@tvmwd.com
Joe Graziano	jgraz4077@aol.com
Joel Ignacio	jignacio@ieua.org
John Bosler	johnb@cvwdwater.com
John Harper	jrharper@harperburns.com
John Hughes	jhughes@mvwd.org
John Huitsing	johnhuitsing@gmail.com
John Lopez	jlopez@sarwc.com
John Lopez and Nathan Cole	customerservice@sarwc.com
John Mendoza	jmendoza@tvmwd.com
John Partridge	jpartridge@angelica.com
John Russ	jruss@ieua.org
John Schatz	jschatz13@cox.net
Jordan Garcia	jgarcia@cbwm.org
Jose A Galindo	Jose.A.Galindo@linde.com
Jose Ventura	jose.ventura@linde.com
Josh Swift	jmswift@fontanawater.com
Joshua Aguilar	jaguilar1@wmwd.com
Justin Brokaw	jbrokaw@marygoldmutualwater.com
Justin Castruita	jacastruita@fontanawater.com
Justin Nakano	JNakano@cbwm.org
Justin Scott-Coe Ph. D.	jscottcoe@mvwd.org
Kaitlyn Dodson-Hamilton	kaitlyn@tdaenv.com
Karen Williams	kwilliams@sawpa.org
Kathleen Brundage	kathleen.brundage@californiasteel.com
Kati Parker	kparker@katithewaterlady.com
Keith Lemieux	klemieux@awattorneys.com
Keith Person	keith.person@waterboards.ca.gov
Kelly Alhadef-Black	kelly.black@lewisbrisbois.com
Kelly Ridenour	KRIDENOUR@fennemorelaw.com
Ken Waring	kwaring@jcsd.us
Kevin Alexander	kalexander@ieua.org
Kevin O'Toole	kotoole@ocwd.com
Kevin Sage	Ksage@IRMwater.com
Kirk Richard Dolar	kdolar@cbwm.org
Krista Paterson	Kpaterson@kmtg.com
Kurt Berchtold	kberchtold@gmail.com
Kyle Brochard	KBrochard@rwglaw.com
Kyle Snay	kylesnay@gswater.com
Laura Roughton	lroughton@wmwd.com
Laura Yraceburu	lyraceburu@bhfs.com
Lauren V. Neuhaus, Esq.	lauren.neuhaus@stoel.com
Lee McElhaney	lmcElhaney@bmklawplc.com
Lewis Callahan	Lewis.Callahan@cdcr.ca.gov
Linda Jadeski	ljadeski@wvwd.org
Liz Hurst	ehurst@ieua.org
Mallory Gandara	MGandara@wmwd.com
Manny Martinez	DirectorMartinez@mvwd.org
Marcella Correa	MCorrea@rwglaw.com
Marco Tule	mtule@ieua.org
Maria Ayala	mayala@jcsd.us
Maria Insixiengmay	Maria.Insxiengmay@cc.sbcounty.gov

Maria Mendoza	mmendoza@westyost.com
Maribel Sosa	msosa@ci.pomona.ca.us
Marilyn Levin	Marilynhlevin@gmail.com
Marissa Turner	mtturner@tvmwd.com
Mark D. Hensley	mhensley@hensleylawgroup.com
Mark Wiley	mwiley@chinohills.org
Marlene B. Wiman	mwiman@nossaman.com
Martin Cihigoyenetché	marty@thejclawfirm.com
Martin Rauch	martin@rauchcc.com
Martin Zvirbulis	mezvirbulis@sgvwater.com
Matthew H. Litchfield	mlitchfield@tvmwd.com
Maureen Snelgrove	Maureen.snelgrove@airports.sbcounty.gov
Maureen Tucker	mtucker@awattorneys.com
Megan N. Sims	mnsims@sgvwater.com
Melanie Trevino	Mtrevino@jcsd.us
Michael Adler	michael.adler@mcmcnnet.net
Michael B. Brown, Esq.	michael.brown@stoel.com
Michael Blay	mblay@uplandca.gov
Michael Fam	mfam@dpw.sbcounty.gov
Michael Hurley	mhurley@ieua.org
Michael Maeda	michael.maeda@cdcr.ca.gov
Michael Mayer	Michael.Mayer@dpw.sbcounty.gov
Michael P. Thornton	mthornton@tkeengineering.com
Michele Hinton	mhinton@fennemorelaw.com
Michelle Licea	mlicea@mvwd.org
Mikayla Coleman	mikayla@cvstrat.com
Mike Gardner	mgardner@wmwd.com
Mike Maestas	mikem@cvwdwater.com
Miriam Garcia	mgarcia@ieua.org
Monica Nelson	mnelson@ieua.org
Moore, Toby	TobyMoore@gswater.com
MWDProgram	MWDProgram@sdca.org
Nadia Aguirre	naguirre@tvmwd.com
Natalie Avila	navila@cityofchino.org
Natalie Costaglio	natalie.costaglio@mcmcnnet.net
Natalie Gonzaga	ngonzaga@cityofchino.org
Nathan deBoom	n8deboom@gmail.com
Neetu Gupta	ngupta@ieua.org
Nicholas Miller	Nicholas.Miller@parks.sbcounty.gov
Nichole Horton	Nichole.Horton@pomona.gov
Nick Jacobs	njacobs@somachlaw.com
Nicole deMoet	ndemoet@uplandca.gov
Nicole Escalante	NEscalante@ontarioca.gov
Noah Golden-Krasner	Noah.goldenkrasner@doj.ca.gov
Norberto Ferreira	nferreira@uplandca.gov
Paul Hofer	farmerhofer@aol.com
Paul Hofer	farmwatchtoo@aol.com
Paul S. Leon	pleon@ontarioca.gov
Pete Vicario	PVicario@cityofchino.org
Peter Dopulos	peterdopulos@gmail.com
Peter Dopulos	peter@egoscuelaw.com
Peter Hettinga	peterhettinga@yahoo.com
Peter Rogers	progers@chinohills.org

Rebekah Walker	rwalker@jcsd.us
Richard Anderson	horsfly1@yahoo.com
Richard Rees	richard.rees@wsp.com
Robert DeLoach	robertadeloach1@gmail.com
Robert E. Donlan	red@eslawfirm.com
Robert Neufeld	robneu1@yahoo.com
Robert S. (RobertS@cbwcd.org)	RobertS@cbwcd.org
Robert Wagner	rwagner@wbecorp.com
Ron Craig	Rcraig21@icloud.com
Ron LaBrucherie, Jr.	ronLaBrucherie@gmail.com
Ronald C. Pietersma	rcpietersma@aol.com
Ruben Llamas	rllamas71@yahoo.com
Ruby Favela	rfavela@cbwm.org
Ryan Shaw	RShaw@wmwd.com
Sam Nelson	snelson@ci.norco.ca.us
Sam Rubenstein	srubenstein@wpcarey.com
Sandra S. Rose	directorrose@mvwd.org
Scott Burton	sburton@ontarioca.gov
Scott Slater	sslater@bhfs.com
Seth J. Zielke	sjzielke@fontanawater.com
Shawnda M. Grady	sgrady@eslawfirm.com
Sherry Ramirez	SRamirez@kmtg.com
Shivaji Deshmukh	sdeshmukh@ieua.org
Sonya Barber	sbarber@ci.upland.ca.us
Sonya Zite	szite@wmwd.com
Stephanie Reimer	SReimer@mvwd.org
Stephen Deitsch	stephen.deitsch@bbklaw.com
Stephen Parker	sparker@uplandca.gov
Steve Kennedy	skennedy@bmklawplc.com
Steve M. Anderson	steve.anderson@bbklaw.com
Steve Nix	snix@ci.upland.ca.us
Steve Smith	ssmith@ieua.org
Steven Andrews	sandrews@sandrewsengineering.com
Steven J. Elie	s.elie@mpglaw.com
Steven J. Elie	selie@ieua.org
Steven Popelar	spopelar@jcsd.us
Steven Raughley	Steven.Raughley@isd.sbcounty.gov
Susan Palmer	spalmer@kidmanlaw.com
Sylvie Lee	slee@tvmwd.com
Tammi Ford	tford@wmwd.com
Tariq Awan	Tariq.Awan@cdcr.ca.gov
Taya Victorino	tayav@cvwdwater.com
Teri Layton	tlayton@sawaterco.com
Terri Whitman	TWhitman@kmtg.com
Terry Catlin	tlcatlin@wfajpa.org
Terry Watkins	Twatkins@geoscience-water.com
Thomas S. Bunn	tombunn@lagerlof.com
Tim Barr	tbarr@wmwd.com
Timothy Ryan	tjryan@sgvwater.com
Toby Moore	toby.moore@gswater.com
Todd M. Corbin	tcorbin@cbwm.org
Tom Barnes	tbarnes@esassoc.com
Tom Bunn	TomBunn@Lagerlof.com

Tom Cruikshank	tcruikshank@linklogistics.com
Tom Dodson	tda@tdaenv.com
Tom Harder	tharder@thomashardercompany.com
Tom O'Neill	toneill@chinodesalter.org
Toni Medell	mmedel@mbakerintl.com
Tony Long	tlong@angelica.com
Toyasha Sebbag	tsebbag@cbwcd.org
Tracy J. Egoscue	tracy@egoscuelaw.com
Trevor Leja	Trevor.Leja@cao.sbcounty.gov
Veva Weamer	vweamer@westyost.com
Victor Preciado	Victor_Preciado@ci.pomona.ca.us
Vivian Castro	vcastro@cityofchino.org
Wade Fultz	Wade.Fultz@cmc.com
WestWater Research, LLC	research@waterexchange.com
William Brunick	bbrunick@bmklawplc.com
William McDonnell	wmcdonnell@ieua.org
William Urena	wurena@emeraldus.com